

# **Rating Criteria for Hybrid capital instruments issued by banks under Basel II guidelines**

February 2018

## Criteria contacts

### **Pawan Agrawal**

Chief Analytical Officer – CRISIL Ratings  
Email: [pawan.agrawal@crsil.com](mailto:pawan.agrawal@crsil.com)

### **Sameer Charania**

Director – Rating Criteria and Product Development  
Email: [sameer.charania@crsil.com](mailto:sameer.charania@crsil.com)

### **Somasekhar Vemuri**

Senior Director – Rating Criteria and Product Development  
Email: [somasekhar.vemuri@crsil.com](mailto:somasekhar.vemuri@crsil.com)

### **Ajit Velonie**

Director – CRISIL Ratings  
Email: [ajit.velonie@crsil.com](mailto:ajit.velonie@crsil.com)

In case of any feedback or queries, you may write to us at [Criteria.feedback@crsil.com](mailto:Criteria.feedback@crsil.com)

Indian banks were allowed to raise hybrid Tier I and Tier II capital by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) under Basel II regulations. Using these instruments (perpetual Tier I debt instruments and upper Tier II bonds), banks had augmented their capital adequacy levels to meet the requirements arising on account of market and operational risks. CRISIL's treatment of hybrid instruments<sup>1</sup> (hybrids) under Basel II guidelines in its bank-rating analyses, and the criteria it adopts in rating such instruments are highlighted below (refer to Box 1 for key features of each form of hybrid capital).

On May 2, 2012, RBI issued detailed guidelines on the implementation of Basel III capital regulations in India. CRISIL has published a separate document that outlines the criteria for rating Basel III-compliant non-equity Tier I and Tier II instruments (Refer to CRISIL Rating criteria for BASEL III-compliant Non-Equity Capital Instruments)<sup>2</sup>

## CRISIL's treatment of hybrid capital issued by banks under Basel II guidelines

CRISIL's treatment of hybrids in its bank-rating analysis coincides with the instruments' regulatory treatment. One of the main parameters CRISIL examines is the instrument's loss-absorption capacity. This is the extent to which the servicing of the instrument needs to be deferred, if the bank reports losses or capital adequacy slips below the minimum stipulated by the RBI. This is also relevant in the event of liquidation, where the seniority of instruments will determine the order in which claims on the assets are met.

CRISIL considers innovative Tier I debt instruments and perpetual preference shares as part of core Tier I capital, as these are perpetual instruments with significant loss-absorption capacity. Though these instruments have call options beyond 10 years, banks may exercise the options only when their capital adequacy levels are comfortable, or new hybrid Tier I instruments are introduced.

Hybrids, such as upper Tier II, are included as secondary forms of capital while computing overall capital adequacy. Though these instruments possess some characteristics of equity (issuers can, for instance, decide not to pay interest or principal when their capital adequacy is less than the stipulated minimum), their loss-absorption capacities are not on par with that of a bank's equity capital. However, these instruments have historically allowed banks to expand their balance sheets and support growth. Hybrids under Basel II have aided banks' overall credit profiles, by increasing diversity in funding, and helped improve Asset-Liability Management on account of their long tenures.

## Rating criteria for hybrids

CRISIL's rating criteria for perpetual Tier I debt instruments and upper Tier II bonds starts with an overall assessment of the bank's credit quality, determined using the parameters under the CRAMEL framework. Besides these, the rating criteria takes into account the following characteristics of hybrid capital instruments issued under Basel II norms:

---

<sup>1</sup> For accessing previously published document on 'Rating Criteria for Hybrid Capital in Banks', follow [https://www.crisil.com/content/dam/crisil/criteria\\_methodology/financials/archive/CRISIL%20Ratings%20research%20hybrid%20capital%20banks%202007.pdf](https://www.crisil.com/content/dam/crisil/criteria_methodology/financials/archive/CRISIL%20Ratings%20research%20hybrid%20capital%20banks%202007.pdf)

<sup>2</sup> For accessing 'Rating criteria for BASEL III-compliant non-equity capital instruments', follow [https://www.crisil.com/content/dam/crisil/criteria\\_methodology/criteria-research/BASEL%20III%20compliant%20instruments.pdf](https://www.crisil.com/content/dam/crisil/criteria_methodology/criteria-research/BASEL%20III%20compliant%20instruments.pdf).

- Banks that are in breach of the minimum regulatory capital adequacy ratio (currently 9%) are not liable to make interest payments/principal repayments on these instruments.
- Banks that are currently reporting losses, or will report losses as a consequence of interest payments on these instruments, will require prior permission from the regulator to pay interest. The regulator may permit the bank to pay interest if it is satisfied with the bank's capital adequacy position.
- If a payment is missed for either of the reasons mentioned above, CRISIL treats such missed interest payment/principal repayment as an event of default, even though this may be as per the terms of the instrument.
- For perpetual instruments, the rating indicates the probability of timely payment of interest/dividend, and does not comment on repayment of principal.

## Events of default

Based on the features of hybrid capital, the following events of default are considered while rating hybrid instruments:

- Probability of the bank breaching the minimum capital requirement set by the regulator.
- Probability of the bank reporting losses, and the regulator denying permission to the bank to make interest/principal payment.
- Probability of default on the instrument, due to the bank's strained cash flows (this aspect is captured in CRISIL's current framework of bank analysis).

In CRISIL's opinion, the probability of breach in minimum regulatory capital requirement subsumes all other events of default. Hence, CRISIL's rating methodology centrally focuses on this aspect. Since perpetual Tier I debt instruments and upper Tier II bonds carry similar triggers for default, the ratings on the two are likely to be identical.

## Factors driving rating of hybrid capital

CRISIL considers the following aspects while rating hybrid instruments:

- The overall credit quality of the bank- This is reflected in the ratings assigned to the bank's lower Tier II bonds.
- This rating acts as the cap for the rating on hybrid instruments, as events forcing default on lower Tier II bonds affect payment on perpetual Tier I and upper Tier II bonds, both of which are structurally subordinated to lower Tier II bonds.
- The current reported capital adequacy (both Tier I and overall capital adequacy) of the bank and CRISIL's expectations of the bank's capital adequacy levels over the medium term, vis-à-vis the minimum requirement set by the regulator.
- CRISIL's expectations are driven by factors such as the bank's future growth in advances, possible erosion in capital due to weak assets, or mark-to-market losses on investment portfolio.
- The bank's ability to raise capital: CRISIL's assessment of this aspect is driven by factors such as the bank's current shareholding pattern, willingness of current shareholders to dilute holdings (particularly in the case of public sector banks), past track record in raising capital, current market price if listed, and so on.
- For public sector banks, the current stipulated minimum of 51% government shareholding is also taken into consideration.

## Conclusion

Banks' hybrid capital instruments (issued under Basel II) are typically rated either at the same level, or up to three notches lower than the rating on the bank's lower Tier II bonds. The rating would be identical to that of lower Tier II bonds if the bank's expected capital adequacy levels are comfortably above the minimum requirement set by the regulator. In no instance will the rating on these hybrid instruments (issued under Basel II) be higher than the rating assigned to the same bank's lower Tier II bonds.

### Box 1

#### Key features of various forms of capital in banks issued under Basel II

The six types of capital that constitute a bank's capital structure, arranged in order of decreasing seniority, are:

**Lower Tier II capital:** These instruments have a minimum tenor of five years, and cannot exceed 50% of Tier I capital. There are no restrictions on servicing these instruments linked to the bank's capital adequacy or profit, but banks need to intimate RBI prior to principal repayment. Lower Tier II capital, therefore, has no loss-absorption capacity. These instruments typically do not carry any put or call options.

**Upper Tier II capital (under Basel II):** These instruments have a minimum maturity of 15 years. In conjunction with lower Tier II capital, upper Tier II capital cannot exceed 100% of Tier I capital. Interest and principal payments on upper Tier II capital will not be made on time if the bank's capital adequacy falls below 9% or if RBI refuses permission to pay interest when the bank declares losses, or will declare losses if the interest is paid. As a result, upper Tier II capital has good loss absorption capacity. Such a default can be cured subsequently by paying past overdues. The issuer can call back the instrument with prior approval of RBI 10 years after the date of issue. At the same time, the issuer can also offer to step up the coupon by 100 basis points. No put options are provided on the instrument. The issue of upper Tier II capital is allowed by RBI (unless it is in the nature of preference shares, which are currently not permitted).

**Innovative Tier I capital (under Basel II):** These are perpetual instruments. Innovative Tier I instruments can form up to a maximum of 15% of total Tier I capital. Further, Tier I preference shares and innovative Tier I capital together cannot exceed 40% of Tier 1 capital. Interest payment on innovative Tier I capital cannot be made on time if the bank's capital adequacy falls below 9%, or if RBI refuses permission to pay interest when the bank declares losses, or will declare losses if the interest is paid. Such a default cannot be cured since the instrument is non-cumulative. Innovative Tier I capital, therefore, has good loss-absorption capacity. The issuer can call back the instrument with prior approval of RBI 10 years after the date of issue. At the same time, the issuer can also offer to step up the coupon by 100 basis points. No put options are provided on the instrument.

**Tier I preference capital (under Basel II):** These are perpetual instruments. Tier I preference shares and innovative Tier I capital together cannot exceed 40% of Tier 1 capital. Dividend payments on Tier I preference capital cannot be made on time if the bank's capital adequacy falls below 9%, or if RBI refuses permission to pay dividend when the bank declares losses. Such a default cannot be cured since the instrument is non-cumulative. Tier I preference shares have a loss-absorption capacity that is superior to that of innovative Tier I capital, since payments on these instruments are in the nature of preference dividend (to be paid only if allocable profit exist), and not interest.

The issuer can call back the instrument with prior approval of RBI 10 years after the date of issue. No put or coupon step-up options are built into these instruments. Banks cannot issue preference shares as per the current provisions of the Banking Regulation Act; RBI is, therefore, yet to issue guidelines to banks on these instruments.

**Equity:** Part of Tier I capital, equity is perpetual, with no put or call options. There are no contractual payments to be made, and equity has the maximum loss-absorption capacity of all classes of capital.

## About CRISIL Limited

CRISIL is an agile and innovative, global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. We are India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics and solutions. A strong track record of growth, culture of innovation and global footprint sets us apart. We have delivered independent opinions, actionable insights, and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers.

We are majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

## About CRISIL Ratings

CRISIL Ratings is part of CRISIL Limited ("CRISIL"). We pioneered the concept of credit rating in India in 1987. CRISIL is registered in India as a credit rating agency with the Securities and Exchange Board of India ("SEBI"). With a tradition of independence, analytical rigour and innovation, CRISIL sets the standards in the credit rating business. We rate the entire range of debt instruments, such as, bank loans, certificates of deposit, commercial paper, non-convertible / convertible / partially convertible bonds and debentures, perpetual bonds, bank hybrid capital instruments, asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities, partial guarantees and other structured debt instruments. We have rated over 24,500 large and mid-scale corporates and financial institutions. CRISIL has also instituted several innovations in India in the rating business, including rating municipal bonds, partially guaranteed instruments and microfinance institutions. We also pioneered a globally unique rating service for Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) and significantly extended the accessibility to rating services to a wider market. Over 1,10,000 MSMEs have been rated by us.

## CRISIL Privacy Notice

CRISIL respects your privacy. We use your contact information, such as your name, address, and email id, to fulfil your request and service your account and to provide you with additional information from CRISIL and other parts of S&P Global Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") you may find of interest.

For further information, or to let us know your preferences with respect to receiving marketing materials, please visit [www.crisil.com/privacy](http://www.crisil.com/privacy). You can view the Company's Customer Privacy at <https://www.spglobal.com/privacy>

**Last updated: April 2016**