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Executive summary

Insurance companies in India are allowed to raise additional capital through subordinated debt or preference shares *(referred to as hybrid instruments)*. These instruments qualify as capital and help insurers improve their solvency margins.

CRISIL’s rating criteria on hybrid instruments start with an assessment of overall credit quality of insurers through Financial Strength Ratings *(For details on FSR; refer to CRISIL’s Rating Criteria for General Insurance Companies and Life Insurance Companies at www.crisil.com)*. The instruments are then tested for additional risk factors to determine whether their ratings should be lower than, or the same as, FSR.

Hybrid instruments issued by insurers have risk features similar to Upper Tier II bonds issued by banks under Basel II regulations. They carry additional risks on account of restrictions on debt servicing if the solvency ratio of insurers falls below the regulatory stipulation. Further, in case of insufficient profit or loss, approval from the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) is required to service these instruments.

CRISIL’s rating criteria incorporates these risks by evaluating the expected cushion in solvency ratio that the insurer intends to maintain -- over and above the regulatory stipulation -- on an ongoing basis. A majority of insurers are promoted by large established companies. Hence, the stance of the promoters on infusing equity to enable insurers to maintain solvency ratio cushion is also a critical factor in arriving at the rating of hybrid instruments.

CRISIL’s rating criteria for preference shares issued by insurance companies, in addition to these factors, shall also consider the adequacy of free reserves to make dividend payments in the event of inadequate profit.

Scope

This criteria¹ document covers the rating methodology for subordinated debt and preference share instruments issued by insurance companies in India.

Background and overview

The insurance sector in India has multiple private and public players in both the life and general insurance sectors. Prior to the IRDAI guidelines permitting the issue of hybrid instruments to raise additional capital, insurance companies could do so only through equity infusion. What hybrid instruments do is strengthen the financial flexibility of insurance companies, improve capital availability to them, and contribute towards increasing penetration of insurance in India.

---
¹ To access the previous criteria document, please follow below link:
https://www.crisil.com/content/dam/crisil/criteria_methodology/financials/CRISILCriteriaRatingHybridinstruments.pdf
Features of hybrid instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features for subordinated debt instruments and preference shares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maturity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interest/dividend</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discretion to defer payments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Options</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regulatory requirements for making interest/dividend and principal payments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cumulative</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seniority of claims</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hybrid instruments qualify as capital for the purpose of calculating the solvency ratio. However, when calculating the ratio, they shall be subjected to progressive haircut on straight-line basis in the final five years to maturity. As per IRDAI, the maximum amount that can be raised through hybrid instruments is 25% of the equity capital and securities premium, and the amount shall not exceed 50% of the networth of the insurance company.

**Comparison with Upper Tier II instruments issued by banks under Basel II guidelines:**

The broad characteristics of hybrid instruments are similar to Upper Tier II bonds issued by banks under Basel II regulations, which are subordinated to depositors and general creditors. The risk of non-payment of principal and interest on Upper Tier II bonds is linked to the capital adequacy ratio of banks falling below regulatory minimum threshold (9%). Payment on these bonds also requires regulatory approval in the event of a loss.

---

2 The IRDAI guidelines on insurance hybrid instruments do not specify the restrictions on principal payment. However, CRISIL believes the restrictions applicable to coupon/dividend payments will apply to principal payment, too
Rating criteria for insurance hybrids

FSR of insurance companies

CRISIL’s rating criteria on hybrid instruments start with an overall assessment of the credit quality of the insurance company measured by its ability to meet obligations to policy holders. CRISIL’s criteria for FSR of insurers capture this aspect by analysing them on a standalone basis and assessing the level of parental support they receive.

CRISIL’s rating methodology for FSR of insurance companies is based on a comprehensive study of the risks involved in the insurance business and covers industry risk, business risk, financial risk and management risk. Business risk is analysed using the parameters of market position and risk management. Financial risk is analysed using the parameters of investment policy and quality, capital adequacy, earnings and liquidity.

Then support of parent is also factored in to arrive at the final FSR. Parental support is assessed by evaluating the economic rationale of the subsidiary to the parent, and the moral obligation of the parent to support the subsidiary, which can manifest as timely infusion of funds, sharing of expertise, sharing of common brand, etc. (Refer to ‘Criteria for Notching up Standalone Ratings of Companies Based on Parent Support at www.crisil.com).

Risks associated with insurance hybrids

In addition to parameters under FSR for insurance companies, CRISIL’s rating criteria for hybrid instruments also takes into account the following key risk factors:

- **Risk associated with the solvency ratio falling below the regulatory minimum:** Insurers have to maintain solvency ratio as per regulations (currently the minimum is 1.5). This implies that if the solvency ratio falls below the minimum, even though the insurance companies have adequate resources to service hybrid instruments, they shall not be allowed to do so. As per CRISIL’s criteria, an event resulting in non-servicing of hybrid instruments on a timely basis would constitute a default.

  Hence CRISIL believes this feature is an additional risk to hybrid instruments apart from credit quality evaluated through CRISIL’s methodology on FSR for insurers.

- **Risk of servicing the instruments in the event of loss:** Insurance companies will need to take approval from IRDAI in cases where servicing debt instruments shall result in a net loss to them or increase their net loss. In the financial sector, we have observed that banks were permitted by the Reserve Bank of India to service regulatory capital instruments even when they reported losses. Such approvals were granted where capital adequacy was above the regulatory minimum of 9%. CRISIL believes that in the event of loss or inadequate profit, IRDAI may permit insurers to service the instruments, subject to them maintaining solvency ratio as required.

  Hence the primary risk associated with hybrid instruments is non-payment in the event solvency ratio falls below the regulatory stipulation.
Reasons for changes in solvency ratios

- **Impact of regulatory changes:** It has been observed that in the past, on account of regulatory changes, the solvency ratio of general insurers has been significantly impacted. CRISIL believes that the factors impacting computation of solvency ratio shall remain susceptible to changes in regulation. In such circumstances, CRISIL believes IRDAI will consider giving sufficient transition time to insurers.

- **Increase in claims:** Substantial increase in claims on account of aggressive business underwriting practices, geographical concentration, and higher exposure to riskier segments such as motor third party (TP) can impact the solvency ratio. While the reserve requirement increases, assets available for computation decline as claims rise, resulting in a deterioration of the solvency ratio.

- **Business growth:** Business growth leading to significant increase in premiums can also impact the solvency ratio of insurers. The required solvency margins as well as reserve requirements increase on account of high premium growth leading to a decline in the solvency ratio.

Framework for rating insurance hybrids

CRISIL’s rating of hybrid instruments begins with the assessment of the FSR of the insurer. The extent of notch-down, if any, from the FSR will depend on CRISIL’s assessment of the expected solvency ratio cushion the insurer is likely to maintain over the regulatory minimum.

The cushion shall be validated against historical volatility in the insurer’s solvency ratio.

If the solvency ratio expected to be maintained is significantly more than the regulatory requirement, the ratings on hybrid instruments are likely to be close to, or the same as, the FSR. On the other hand, if the expected solvency ratio of the insurer is only marginally above the regulatory requirement, the rating could be away from the FSR by as much as three to four notches.

The level of parent support is an important feature of CRISIL’s rating methodology for the FSR of insurance companies. CRISIL shall analyse the parent’s stance along with past track record in supporting the insurer to maintain sufficient cushion in the solvency ratio over the regulatory minimum requirement, so as to enable timely servicing of hybrid instruments.

In some cases, the IRDAI has allowed insurers to service their subordinated debt, even when their solvency ratio has fallen below the regulatory minimum. CRISIL factors in such regulatory nuances while deciding the notch-down from the FSR, to arrive at the rating of the insurance hybrid.

For the rating of preference shares, in addition to all the factors mentioned above, CRISIL’s methodology shall evaluate the adequacy of free reserves in order to make dividend payments in the event of inadequate profit.

Conclusion

CRISIL’s rating criteria on insurance hybrid instruments recognises the unique credit risks associated with these instruments. The extent of notch-down, if any, of the hybrid instrument from the FSR depends on the expected cushion in the solvency ratio to be maintained above the regulatory minimum requirement, and the availability of parent support, if any, to maintain this level of expected cushion.
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